SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S18875 G042356/G041315 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GOLIATH (ROBERT JOHN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189099 B216199 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PHAN (CUONG) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189317 B215387 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. FAVOR (BRANDON ALEXANDER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189321 D055337 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (FRANK EDWARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189342 B212502 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. CARTER (DERRICK DONTAE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189352 C063044 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. FUNCHES (TYRONE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2011.

PEOPLE v. ALONSO S189392 F058239 Fifth Appellate District

(EDGARDO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

B218424 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. SHRIER (PETER) S189393 The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189403 A130634 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **DHAMI (MANJINDER S.) ON** H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189406 A123192 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. THOMAS (ROBERT ANTHONY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189417 A123700 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BUMANLAG (ARIEL TAMIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189422 F058589 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SOOJIAN (TANNEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189424 H035180 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LOVELACE (TERRANCE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189452 C061749 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GALLEGO (ROLANDO N.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 1, 2011.

S189457 A125168 First Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. GARCIA (JESSI

LUIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S189461 C063710 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ATENCIO, JR.,

(ANGELO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189462 F058249 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SHOCKLEY (THOMAS RAYMOND)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189463 A130568 First Appellate District, Div. 2

DAVIS (ANTHONY T.) ON

H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189486 H034940/H035920 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MOORE, JR., (WILLIE EDWARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189487 H035920 Sixth Appellate District

MOORE (WILLIE EDWARD)

ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 4, 2011.

S189496 C066261 Third Appellate District

NAYLOR (TROY MITCHELL) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2011.

S189503 H035743 Sixth Appellate District

DIX (KEITH LYNN) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2011.

S189510

D055697 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ

(GRACIANO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 5, 2011.

S189533 H034656 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WILLMES

(HERBERT ANTHONY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 6, 2011.

S189535

B215202 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. YBARRA

(GABRIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 6, 2011.

S189544

D056075 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. MARKSON

(BLAKE SCOTT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189557

C066868 Third Appellate District

JONES (CALVIN L.) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 6, 2011.

S189577 B222784 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 ENNABE (FAIEZ) v. MANOSA (CARLOS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 7, 2011.

S189582 H033922 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. STEINBERG (JASON LEE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 7, 2011.

S189588 G043273/G044350 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MACKENZIE (DOUGLAS JOHN ALEXANDER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189593 A127452 First Appellate District, Div. 1 BLACHER (MARLON JESSIE) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 7, 2011.

S189594 A124164 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BLACHER (MARLON JESSIE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 7, 2011.

S189612 C063384 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SALAZAR (SALVADOR GARROLA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S189613 B226919 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 SAPERSTEIN (DAVID I.) v. S.C. (PAUL, HASTING, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189614 H034602 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HARRIS (ANTOINE MONSHA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189617 A124874 First Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. LOWE

(LAWRENCE)

The above-entitled matter is hereby extended.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189619 B221034 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. BROWN (JARED)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S189639 C066775 Third Appellate District

HAMMER LANE

MANAGEMENT LLC. v. S.C.

(PANAKOSTA PARTNERS

L.P.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189646 C062960 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HATTAM (JOSHUA ABSALOM)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189657 E049637 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. HARRIS (DARRELL EUGENE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 8, 2011.

S189659 B207551 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 GROBESON (MITCHELL) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 11, 2011.

S189670 C063271 Third Appellate District

DOE (JOHN) v. ALBANY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 11, 2011.

S189673 B218372 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. JACKSON (KERWIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 11, 2011.

S189731 B218145 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 LUJANO (YVETTE) v. COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189737 F058493 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. AGUERO (JAIME)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189741 E048437 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. JONES (JULIAN)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189744 B209693 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ARMENTA (PEDRO ALONSO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189748 D055258 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VILTZ (WILLIAM RAMIREZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189752 B222288 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 MIRABAL (MICHAEL R.) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2011.

S189776 H035741 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. YARBER (MAURICE DARWIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S189781 E048681 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CHRISTIANA (JOHN THOMAS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S189786 A114612 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. WYATT (REGINALD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S189792 G042855 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 FIRST AFG FINANCIAL CORPORATION v.

SECURITY UNION TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2011.

S029843 PEOPLE v. BECK (JAMES DAVID) & CRUZ (GERALD DEAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender William T. Lowe's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Gerald Dean Cruz's reply brief by mid-August 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 26, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days are contemplated.

PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL CLARK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 29, 2011.

S049626

PEOPLE v. HAJEK (STEPHEN EDWARD) & VO (LOI TAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Doron Weinberg's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Loi Tan Vo's reply brief by July 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 26, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S056766

PEOPLE v. LEON (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to April 29, 2011.

S083446

PEOPLE v. WILSON (BRANDON H.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan L. Wolk's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 3, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

S089478

PEOPLE v. MAI (HUNG THANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Adrianne S. Denault's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by March 28, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 28, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

PEOPLE v. PARKER (CALVIN LAMONT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 26, 2011.

S116307

PEOPLE v. FLORES III (ALFRED)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Derham's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 20, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 25, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127621

PEOPLE v. ERSKINE (SCOTT THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 26, 2011.

S132253

PEOPLE v. HELZER (JUSTIN ALAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 26, 2011.

S132256

PEOPLE v. HELZER (GLEN TAYLOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 26, 2011.

PEOPLE v. AMEZCUA (OSWALDO) & FLORES (JOSEPH CONRAD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Oswaldo Amezcua and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 26, 2011.

S140894

PEOPLE v. MIRACLE (JOSHUA MARTIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 2, 2011.

S154541

LANCASTER (ANDREW) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Zee Rodriguez's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by August 28, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 3, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S178102

MARLOW (JAMES G.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Mark Yim's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 2, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S183301

LENOIR (BOEWRELL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 28, 2011.

S187965 G038379 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MOSLEY (STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief is extended to March 27, 2011.

Based on the representation of Angela N. Borzachillo, counsel for respondent, that the opening brief requires only final review and processing, no further extensions of time are contemplated.

S188453 F057147 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (LUIS OSCAR)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 4, 2011.

S188689 BROWN (NERRAH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 4, 2011.

S189991 F061180 Fifth Appellate District PALMER (JULIE L.) v. S.C. (CITIBANK)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to March 11, 2011.

S190544 C067309 Third Appellate District JUROR NUMBER ONE v. S.C. (ROYSTER)

Extension of time granted

On application of Real Parties in Interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Answer to the Petition for Review is extended to March 14, 2011.

S151172 PEOPLE v. FORD (WAYNE ADAM)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mark E. Cutler is hereby appointed to represent appellant Wayne Adam Ford for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

PEOPLE v. DEMOLLE (ALEX)

Order appointing State Public Defender filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the State Public Defender is hereby appointed to represent appellant Alex Demolle for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S188619 A124643 First Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (ANDREW D.)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Barry M. Karl is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S177654 B212416 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE V.V.

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellants in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellants at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of appellants to allocate to V.V. 15 minutes and J.H. 15 minutes of appellants' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S189476

PERRY (KRISTIN M.)/(CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO) v. SCHWARZENEGGER (ARNOLD)/ (HOLLINGSWORTH)

Order filed

The order of this court filed February 23, 2011, denying the request for relief from default, is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

"The request for relief from default filed by Margie Reilly is denied as moot without prejudice to seeking permission to file an amicus curiae brief in the future pursuant to the schedule set forth in this court's order filed February 16, 2011."

PERRY (KRISTIN M.)/(CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO) v. SCHWARZENEGGER (ARNOLD)/ (HOLLINGSWORTH)

Application denied

The application of respondents Kristin M. Perry, Sandra B. Stier, Paul T. Katami, and Jeffrey J. Zarrillo to shorten the briefing schedule and application to set oral argument for May 23, 2011, is denied.

S190809 KAO (CHUNG) v. S.C.

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S190828 DYE (WILLIAM R.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

S189017 SELZER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PAUL TRANEL SELZER, State Bar Number 38228, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. PAUL TRANEL SELZER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189019 RIVERA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDUARDO A. RIVERA, State Bar Number 62528, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. EDUARDO A. RIVERA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. EDUARDO A. RIVERA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 4, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EDUARDO A. RIVERA has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EDUARDO A. RIVERA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If EDUARDO A. RIVERA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S189021

PRESLEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CLAY EDWARD PRESLEY, State Bar Number 174277, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CLAY EDWARD PRESLEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. CLAY EDWARD PRESLEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 3, 2010.; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CLAY EDWARD PRESLEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CLAY EDWARD PRESLEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If CLAY EDWARD PRESLEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

NITZEL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KEVIN SCOTT NITZEL, State Bar Number 196113, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

KEVIN SCOTT NITZEL must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 5, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

KEVIN SCOTT NITZEL must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189025

MARTIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CUAUHTEMOC VILLA MARTIN, State Bar Number 103758, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CUAUHTEMOC VILLA MARTIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. CUAUHTEMOC VILLA MARTIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 10, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CUAUHTEMOC VILLA MARTIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CUAUHTEMOC VILLA MARTIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If CUAUHTEMOC VILLA MARTIN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

LUTHI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ERIC ROBERT LUTHI, State Bar Number 160430, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ERIC ROBERT LUTHI must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 26, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ERIC ROBERT LUTHI has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ERIC ROBERT LUTHI must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If ERIC ROBERT LUTHI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S189034

KONTOS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TOM GEORGE KONTOS, State Bar Number 44539, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. TOM GEORGE KONTOS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189037

ERICSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON, State Bar Number 50457, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of

- the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
- ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.
 SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189038

DILL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SUSAN L. DILL, State Bar Number 132607, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SUSAN L. DILL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. SUSAN L. DILL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 25, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SUSAN L. DILL has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SUSAN L. DILL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189040

CROWLEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that EDMUND TODD CROWLEY, State Bar Number 154948, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. EDMUND TODD CROWLEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court

and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189043

ARASE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID KIYOSHI ARASE, State Bar Number 233705, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DAVID KIYOSHI ARASE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Frank and Brenda Noble in the amount of \$2,495.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 26, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Frank and Brenda Noble, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. He makes restitution to Michael and Mary Jines in the amount of \$1,950.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 12, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Michael and Mary Jines, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - iii. He makes restitution to Joel and Joanna Alvardo in the amount of \$750.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 11, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Joel and Joanna Alvardo in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - iv. He makes restitution to Francisco and Cecilia Sosa in the amount of \$3,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 1, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Francisco and Cecilia Sosa, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - v. He makes restitution to Kenneth and Stephen Kirby in the amount of \$4,995.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 4, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Kenneth and Stephen Kirby in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - vi. He makes restitution Cynthia Reed in the amount of \$2,495.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 30, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Cynthia Reed, in accordance with

- Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- vii. He makes restitution to Ardys Sandell in the amount of \$3,495.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 18, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Ardys Sandell, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- viii. He makes restitution to Eliseo Nuestro in the amount of \$5,787.50 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 29, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Eliseo Nuestro, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- ix. He makes restitution to Keith Ring in the amount of \$5,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 6, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Keith Ring, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- x. He makes restitution to Marcus and Catrina Clark in the amount of \$3,300.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 20, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Marcus and Catrina Clark, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xi. He makes restitution to Jose Zavala in the amount of \$1,750.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 11, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jose Zavala, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xii. He makes restitution to Betty Collins in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 25, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Betty Collins, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xiii. He makes restitution to Thelbert and Brenda Wilkinson in the amount of \$800.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 15, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Thelbert and Brenda Wilkinson, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xiv. He makes restitution to Roger and Shirley Tromerhauser in the amount of \$3,490.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 6, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Roger and Shirley Tromerhauser, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xv. He makes restitution to Ivania Hernandez in the amount of \$2,995.00 plus 10

- percent interest per year from November 30, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Ivania Hernandez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xvi. He makes restitution to Saleta Darnell in the amount of \$3,690.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 3, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Saleta Darnell, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xvii. He makes restitution to Raymond and Pamela Moreno in the amount of \$3,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 30, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Raymond and Pamela Moreno, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xviii. He makes restitution to Sukhjinder Kaur in the amount of \$3,300.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 12, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Sukhjinder Kaur, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xix. He makes restitution to James Sena and Brenda Solano in the amount of \$3,800.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 31, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to James Sena and Brenda Solano, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xx. He makes restitution to Rito Lopez in the amount of \$2,200.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 30, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Rito Lopez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxi. He makes restitution to Bobby Lawson in the amount of \$2,995.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 31, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Bobby Lawson, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxii. He makes restitution to Robert Glorae in the amount of \$2,990.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 29, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Robert Glorae, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxiii. He makes restitution to Victor Mendoza in the amount of \$2,995.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 8, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Victor Mendoza, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State

- Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxiv. He makes restitution to Milton Kemp in the amount of \$2,495.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 30, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Milton Kemp, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- He makes restitution to Howard Mason in the amount of \$2,400 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 24, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Howard Mason, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxvi. He makes restitution to Bryan Cottriel in the amount of \$3,500.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 3, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Bryan Cottriel, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxvii. He makes restitution to Sandra Arevalo in the amount of \$3,507.99 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 1, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Sandra Arevalo, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxviii. He makes restitution to John Eftimou in the amount of \$3,500.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 13, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to John Eftimou, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxix. He makes restitution to Hieu Ton in the amount of \$2,995.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 25, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Hieu Ton, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxx. He makes restitution to Corey Hicks in the amount of \$2,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 2, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Corey Hicks, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxi. He makes restitution to Trinidad Juarez in the amount of \$2,500.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 7, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Trinidad Juarez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxii. He makes restitution to Jose Diaz in the amount of \$4,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 31, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund,

- to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jose Diaz, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxiii. He makes restitution to Marcia Bryan in the amount of \$3,949.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 22, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Marcia Bryan, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxiv. He makes restitution to Irma Martinez in the amount of \$6,700.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 24, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Irma Martinez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxv. He makes restitution to Severia Baunchand in the amount of \$2,250.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 6, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Severia Baunchand, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxvi. He makes restitution to Dennis Chambers in the amount of \$2,995.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 24, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Dennis Chambers, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxvii. He makes restitution to Ellen and Anthony Mancuso in the amount of \$200.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 3, 2008 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Ellen and Anthony Mancuso, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xxxviii. He makes restitution to Birna Bjornsdottir in the amount of \$3,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 30, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Birna Bjornsdottir, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
- xxxix. He makes restitution to Jerry Shuman in the amount of \$3,000.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 31, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jerry Shuman, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- xl. He makes restitution to Lisa Marie Giovannone and Rosemarie Wackerly in the amount of \$2,495.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 20, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Lisa Marie Giovannone and Rosemarie Wackerly, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of

- Probation in Los Angeles.
- xli. David Kiyoshi Arase must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. DAVID KIYOSHI ARASE must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 9, 2010.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID KIYOSHI ARASE has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID KIYOSHI ARASE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DAVID KIYOSHI ARASE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189200

De OLIVAS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS, State Bar Number 249608, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 5, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days,

respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-fourth of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015. If CHRISTIAN De OLIVAS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S189204

GOODHEART ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL ROGER GOODHEART, State Bar Number 50616, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MICHAEL ROGER GOODHEART is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. MICHAEL ROGER GOODHEART must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 4, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL ROGER GOODHEART has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If MICHAEL ROGER GOODHEART fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S189205

HAMILTON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KAREN J. HAMILTON, State Bar Number 71093, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

KAREN J. HAMILTON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

KNOWLES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SARA MATHIS KNOWLES, State Bar Number 216139, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SARA MATHIS KNOWLES is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. SARA MATHIS KNOWLES must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 10, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SARA MATHIS KNOWLES has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SARA MATHIS KNOWLES must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If SARA MATHIS KNOWLES fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S189210

LAWSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN WARNER LAWSON, State Bar Number 224213, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN WARNER LAWSON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 30 days, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar;
 - ii. If JOHN WARNER LAWSON remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirements, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii)); and
 - iii. If JOHN WARNER LAWSON remains suspended for 90 days or more, he must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or

suspension.

2. JOHN WARNER LAWSON must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

JOHN WARNER LAWSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189211

YANKEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM TRUMAN YANKEY, State Bar Number 176413, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WILLIAM TRUMAN YANKEY must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 1, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WILLIAM TRUMAN YANKEY has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WILLIAM TRUMAN YANKEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If WILLIAM TRUMAN YANKEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.